1	REMARKS
2	
3 4	Claims 1-14 have been presented for examination in the
5	above-identified U.S. Patent Application.
6	
7	Claims 1-14 have been rejected in the Office Action
8	dated April 05, 2007.
9	
10	Claims 1, 4, 8, 9, 10, and 12 have been amended by
11	this Amendment A.
12	
13	Claims 1-14 are still in the application and
14	reconsideration of the Application is hereby respectfully
15	requested.
16	
17	Referring to the Paragraph 1 of the Office Action dated
18	April 05, 2007, Examiner has objected to the drawings as
19	failing to include reference mentioned in the Specification.
20	By this Amendment A, Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 have been amended to
21	include the reference signs indicated as missing by Examiner.
22	Therefore, objection to the drawings has been answered by
23	this Amendment A.
24	

25 Referring to Paragraph 2 of the Office Action, the drawings have been objected to as including reference 26 characters not found in the Specification. By this 27 Amendment A, the Specification has been amended to include 28 29 the reference characters referred to by Examiner. Therefore, objection to the drawings as including reference 30

TI-35545 Page 9 173556

1 characters not found in the Specification has been answered 2 by amendment.

3

4 Referring to Paragraph 3 of the Office Action, the 5 Specification has been objected to as failing to provide an 6 antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. rejection of the Claims, Examiner has 7 specified the particular Claim portions that were not 8 found in the 9 Specification. In response, Applicant's attorney has added 10 phrases found in the Claims to the Specification. 11 the entire Application, including the Claims, forms the 12 Disclosure, it is therefore not inappropriate to take language from the Claims and add this material to the 13 14 Specification. Therefore the objection to the 15 Specification as not providing an antecedent basis for the 16 claimed subject matter has been answered by the amendments to the Specification described below. 17

18

Referring to Paragraph 4 of the Office Action, Claims
1 and 9 have been objected to because of informalities of
the language of each Claim kindly pointed out by Examiner.
The two informalities of language have been corrected by
amendment to the Claims. Therefore, objection to Claims 1
and 9 have been answered by amendment.

25

Referring to Paragraphs 5 and 6, Claims 4 and 8-14 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first Paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement of Paragraph 112.

173556 TI-35545 Page 10

1 With respect to the rejection of Claim 4, the language 2 cited by Examiner, that is not supported bv 3 Specification, has been added by amendment to the Paragraph 4 inserted after Line 11, Page 6. Note that because the user 5 input for normal operation and for the new mode of operation appear in a different order in Claims 1 and 4 and 6 in Claim 9, the added Paragraph does not identify the user 7 input by number. Claims 4 and 9 have been amended to 9 clarify that these are first and second inputs and not 10 first and second users.

11

With respect to the rejection of Claim 8, the phrase pointed out by Examiner is similar to that which is the basis amendment of the Specification as a result of the language in Claim 4, the language being added to the new Paragraph inserted after Line 11, page 6.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

With respect to the rejection of Claim 9, the normal mode of operation is described in the Paragraph describing Fig. 1. The Paragraph beginning on Line 1, Page 2 has been amended to indicate that the "normal" mode of operation is the operation as described in the Prior Art. The autoexposure operation has been added to the normal mode of operation of the Prior Art.

25

26

27

28

29

Referring to the rejection of Claim 10, the Specification now describes a mode of operation in which a user can activate the shutter immediately. That this performed by a user third input is a function of structure

173556 TI-35545 Page 11

of the Claims in which two other inputs by users interacted with user input function.

3

Referring to the rejection of Claim 14, the Paragraph beginning on Line 1, Page 2 has been amended to include the coordination of the flash assembly and the shutter assembly.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Summarizing, each of the reasons for rejection of the Claims in Paragraph 5 and 6 of the Office Action have been addressed by incorporating the language of the Claim into the Specification. Because the language was already in the Claims, and therefore, part of the disclosure, the inclusion of the language in the Specification is not new matter. Therefore, rejection of Claims 4 and 8-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first Paragraph, has been answered by amendment.

18

19 Referring to Paragraphs 9 and 10 of the Office Action, 20 Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, and 11-13 have been rejected under 325 21 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Usuha (JP 07-333710 A). 22 The priority date on the Usuha reference is given as 23 10.6.1994. Enclosed herewith are photocopies of the 24 Disclosure in Texas Instruments' file TI-35545, the basis 25 for Applicant's U.S. Patent application. The date of that the disclosure reached the TI Patent Organization is shown 26 27 by the RECEIVED stamp as being OCT 29 2002, thereby clearly prior to the Priority Date of the Usuha reference. While 28 29 in the photocopy, · every other Page is Date Stamped, the 30 document is double-sided so that in the original every Page

173556 TI-35545 Page 12

has a Date Stamp. Applicant's attorney has dated and initialed the photocopy herewith submitted to indicate that 3 he has personally checked the photocopy with the original copy in File TI-35545. There was never an intention to 4 abandon this invention and the application was diligently 5 prepared. The enclosed photocopy indicates that inventor 7 DeMoor had conceived the invention prior to the Priority Date of the Usuha reference. Therefore, rejection of 8 Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9, and 11-13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) is 9 10 respectfully traversed.

11

12

13

14

15

CONCLUSION

1

In view of the foregoing discussion and the foregoing amendments, it is believed that Claims 1-14 are now in condition for allowance and allowance of Claims 1-14 is respectfully requested. Applicant hereby respectfully requests a timely Notice of Allowance be issued for this Application.

Respectfully submitted,

William W. Holloway

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 26,182

Texas Instruments Incorporated PO Box 655474, MS 3999 Dallas, TX 75265 (281) 274-4064

Dated: August 06, 2007



